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ABSTRACT

Various Cattle identification methods are discussed in this paper. Cattle identification methods can be classified into 3, viz. Mechanical methods, Electronic methods and Biometric methods. Tagging, branding and tattoos are most commonly used mechanical methods. Three main electronic identifiers are ear tags, ruminal boluses and injectable transponders. DNA profiling, Iris imaging, Retinal imaging and Muzzle pattern imaging are biometric methods. All these are discussed in this paper with their merits and demerits. Finally beef cattle identification using Speeded Up Robust Features (SURF) approach is studied and implemented. This method has higher performance than the previous methods in terms of robustness, distinctiveness, repeatability with higher speed. This is achieved by combining leading detectors (Hessian matrix-based) and descriptors (distribution-based) with the concept of integral image. This results rotation and scale invariant detection, description and matching.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Individual animal identification can be achieved through ear notching, ear tagging (metal, plastic, electronic), branding, tattooing or biometric methods such as nose prints, iris scanning, retinal imaging and DNA profiling. Non-biometric methods are already widely used, with ear tagging as common one. Implantable chips have not been accepted by some countries because of the risk that the device might migrate and enter the food chain and hot-iron branding is prohibited on animal welfare grounds. All non-biometric methods are invasive. Biometric methods provide certain advantages over mechanical and electronic devices.

Identifying people or cattle based on their behavioral (gait) and distinctive anatomical (Iris, Retina) characteristics is called biometrics [1]. Biometric identifiers cannot be shared or misplaced and represents bodily identity. The main three functionalities provided by biometrics are positive identification, large scale identification and screening. Biometric identification consists of 3 processes. First, image of an attribute is obtained. Features are extracted in second step and finally matching is done for recognition.

Uneven features of skin surface of cattle are called Muzzle (viz. snout or nose) patterns [2]. The distribution and arrangement of valleys and ridges are responsible for the formation of pattern on the muzzle. The asymmetry between muzzle halves is significant and the pattern of cattle muzzle is highly hereditable [3]. Due to its uniqueness, the muzzle pattern can be considered as a biometric identifier. Because the muzzle pattern is consistent over time and individualistic like human fingerprints, it is used as a form of permanent identification. The pattern structure of cattle muzzle patterns is complex than that of human fingerprints, and since the structure features are changed or deformed during the growing stage, these pattern structures cannot be skillfully recognized by using a technique like the one used for conventional fingerprint comparison. A robust method is required to identify cattle using their muzzle prints.
The discrete Muzzle image point correspondence between two images using SURF[4] can be divided into 3 main steps:

1. Interest point detector
2. Descriptor/Feature Vector
3. Matching.

In an image, corners, T-junctions and blobs are distinctive locations. These are selected as interest points. Repeatability property ensures the selection of same image point in an image at different viewing conditions. Thus the interest point detector should have repeatability property. Second, the feature vector represents neighborhood of every interest point. This descriptor has to be robust to noise, displacements, photometric distortions and geometric deformations. Finally, matching of descriptor vectors were done between different images. The distance based matching is done between the vectors e.g. the Mahalanobis or Euclidean distance. Low dimension descriptors are desirable for fast interest point matching. SURF feature vector is 1X64 long with sufficient distinctiveness. It increases computation efficiency.

II. MECHANICAL METHODS

Traditionally, tagging, branding and tattoos have been commonly used to identify animals for trace back programs.

Plastic And Bar Coded Ear Tags

Ear tags are common form of animal identification. The tags are pierced through the cattle’s ear, and allow for an animal to be identified from the front and the back. Tags are normally installed between the second and third cartilage rib of ears, using an applicator gun that corresponds to the type of ear tag being used. Ear tags are inexpensive, easy to use, flexible in all types of weather and usually easy to read. The main drawbacks of ear tags are that their possibility of tearing injuries, they can be easily ripped from the ear or become lost and potential fraudulent identification. A flexible plastic tag is shown in Figure 1.

Tattooing

Tattooing is commonly used in all animals and involves imprinting an identification number / letter combination into the skin of the animal using indelible ink. To avoid the interference of Tattooing with the use of ear tags the tattoo is placed above the first rib of the ear. Swine can be tattooed on the shoulder for carcass identification during slaughter and horses are often tattooed on the inside of their flank. One disadvantage of tattooing is that the animal must be restrained to apply and read the identification number [5]. A poor job of tattooing will produce tattoos that are hard to read. Figure 2 shows an ear with a tattoo and an ear tag.
Freeze Branding
Freeze branding allows for animals to be identified from a greater distance than with ear tags. This method involves the use of branding irons, with letters and numbers, being chilled in liquid nitrogen or dry ice and alcohol. Upon application to the animal’s hide, the chilled branding iron kills the cells that produce color pigment in the hair follicles. After freeze branding, white or colorless follicles are produced and this results in a permanent brand. Freeze branding causes less pain to the animal than that of hot branding. However, the freeze branding can only be applied on animals with dark hair.

Ear Notching
Ear notching is widely used in swine industry as a system of animal identification [5]. It involves removing V-shaped portions of the pig’s ear that correspond to a specific litter number and also an individual pig number from that litter. The litter number is notched in the pig’s right ear, and the individual pig number is notched in the pig’s left ear (Figure 2.3). This system does not provide unique identification, due to the reduced distinct positions for ear notching. Another disadvantage is the discomfort and bleed caused to the pigs.

III. ELECTRONIC IDENTIFIERS
There are mainly 3 types of electronic identifiers, viz. ear tags, ruminal boluses and injectable transponders. Electronic identifiers are required due to ear tags and tattoos require manual and visible inspection.

Ruminal Bolus
An antenna and microchip are placed inside a small glass ampoule with high specific gravity ceramic capsule (bolus). It is then inserted into the ruminant’s fore-stomach, usually the reticulum. According to Caja et al.[6],
nontoxic ceramic material (alumina) of high specific weight is used to produce a bolus for enclosing different types of transponders. There are two types of readers, viz. static or portable. Static readers are used with large number of cattle. Static reader would read the electronic tag as the animal passed through the reading field and the information is downloaded and compared. The portable reader is used where the electronic identity is used for veterinary inspection or other management procedures [7].

The advantages of electronic rumen bolus are
1. It offers higher level of security.
2. Lack of physical damage or pain to the animal.
3. Minimal stress to the animal.

The main disadvantages of the rumen bolus are
1. High cost.
2. For routine management of the animals, it requires an external method of identification.

**Electronic Ear Tag**
Electronics ear tag was first introduced by Allflex USA, Inc. in 1993. It uses RFID technology. A coiled copper antenna and a microchip are encapsulated in a small plastic ear tag. Electronic ear tag is stationary and does not harm animal. Ear tags are unreliable because they are not tamper-proof as they can be easily ripped from the animal’s ear or become lost.

**Injectable Transponder**
Transponders have been injected in a variety of body locations like knee fold, armpit, forehead, ear, etc of the cattle [8]. The key concerns are breakage, loss or failure of transponders, migration of transponders and recovery of transponders after slaughter. The superior sites for implantation are base of the ear and axilla. Nehring et al. [9] found the axilla to have the higher retention, reading success and lower migration, compared to the base of the ear.

**IV. ANIMAL BIOMETRIC IDENTIFIERS**
A non invasive solution to individual animal identification is provided by biometric methods. Any measurable, robust and distinctive physical characteristic that is used to identify or verify the claimed identity of an animal is called animal biometric identifier [10]. Measurable means the characteristics that can be represented in quantifiable, digital format in real time. Robustness is the measure of extent to which the characteristic is subject to significant changes over time. The variation of differences in the biometric pattern among the general population is measured by distinctiveness. The uniqueness of the identifier increases with increase in distinctiveness. Cost, ease of implementation, reliability and ease of use are the factors for the selection of any biometric identification system.

Biometric Identification systems are using biological data that cannot be altered, faked or appropriated. Biometric methods include nose printing, retinal imaging, iris imaging and DNA profiling. These biometric methods are covering the life history of animal, permanent and less prone to errors or fraud. Thus the transfer of identity from one animal to another by removing ear tag is completely blocked [11]. Thus the use of biometric methods enables reduction is substitution and confidence in transaction. Illegal killing, selling, buying and transporting of animals could be monitored and controlled. Fraud and confusion are minimized by permanent, positive and unalterable biometric animal identification methods [11]. Further cost of identification is reduced by the reduction in expense of digital imaging machines. The main drawback of biometric identifier is that they are not visible and requires specialized technology to read [12].

**DNA Pattern**
Except in the cases of identical twins, each individual has a unique complement of antibodies and a unique DNA pattern. This is the most effective method for animal identification. DNA pattern based identification greatly reduce identification errors due to its positive, accurate, quick, unalterable and easy means of recognition. A project on Electronic identification and molecular markers for the traceability of live stock (EID +DNA) was conducted in
Spain during 2001-2003[13]. In this project, real time tagging and tracing back was given by Electronic Identification (EID). DNA was used for auditing the tracing back of animals.

Biopsy tagging was an easy and tampers proof method of sampling DNA in animals. These biopsies are effective for the analysis of DNA single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and microsatellites in cattle. This EID and DNA profiling were coded and stored in database with data comparison and retrieval tools.

**DNA Identification Techniques For Live Animals**

DNA technology can be used for identification of animals in following ways [14].

1. Identification of an animal by comparison with the DNA sample already taken from the same animal. For the purpose of animal disease control and eradication, biological samples are often taken from live stock. These samples are used for authenticating the identity.

2. Young animal’s systematic sampling and archiving of samples. If samples are taken during first tagging, gives a powerful tool. For example, if hair or tissue samples were collected along with ear tag, it will provide powerful tool for the confirmation during the secondary sampling.

3. Animal’s systematic sampling and DNA profiling. Each of samples is tested and its DNA information is stored in database. This is used for the verification of ear tag.

![Figure 4 DNA Tag and hair samples collector from Allflex, Australia](image)

Cunnigham et al. [14] opined that universal use of DNA alone is not justified, but DNA along with tag can be used for powerful means of identification. In 2003, ‘DNA Tag’ was launched by a company named Allflex in Australia. This ‘DNA Tag’ combines DNA sample collector with Electronic Ear Tag (Figure 4). The Tag and sample collector are preprinted with unique code. When tag is inserted into animal, hair samples are collected and sent to laboratory. A computer database links the archived DNA sample and ear tag in field that can be used for genetic testing. Even after the animal is killed, the meat samples can be taken and traced back with already collected hair sample.

**V. RETINA IMAGING**

The thickness of retina varies from 100 – 500 μm. The retina is composed of synaptic and cellular layers, which can be broadly divided into outer epithelial layer (referred as sensory retinal epithelium or retinal pigment epithelium) and inner sensory layer (referred as sensory retina or neuroretina). One of most metabolically active tissue in the body is retina [15]. The main function of retina is to convert light energy into chemical and electrical energy for vision.

The animal retinal patterns will not change after death up to six hours. Retinal images are not affected by injuries on eye’s cornea. Thus retinal imaging is preferable to retinal scanning as it allows more powerful and flexible analysis of retinal data [17]. In 1998 an Optireader device has been developed by Optibrand for retinal imaging, shown in figure 5.
Using a handheld computer in combination with ocular fundus infrared digital camera, retinal images are acquired without any contact with the animal.

After stabilizing animal movement, the operator moves the Optireader towards the eye. Using the LCD screen on reader, the operator can adjust the position of reader to get the image of pupil of eye. After pressing the trigger, the algorithm starts running, which looks for retinal structure in the eye. When the structure is found, green lamp glows, and three to five images are displayed to operator via LCD screen. When the operator releases the trigger, the reader will choose one of the images with best characteristic. The operator can also select according to own decision. After selecting the image, the green light is turned off and reader is ready for next animal. After the image capture, date, time and location information are entered into the computer plus any other information if required. The details are then transmitted to a central data bank.

The images are stored as jpeg grayscale image with the following information, viz. GPS, location ID, ear tag number, reader’s serial number and operators ID [16]. The templates of the retinal veins are extracted and stored, during retinal image capture. For recognition, template matching algorithm is used with a matching score.

VI. DRAWBACKS IN THE EXISTING PRODUCTS/PROCESS/TECHNOLOGY

The existing methods such as branding, tattooing, ear tagging, and ear notching were flawed in that the identifier could be replicated, replaced, or modified. Electronic Ear tags pose a problem for permanent animal identification because they can be lost or moved from one animal to another. DNA is a reliable form of identification, but it can be costly and can require days or weeks to get results. Iris recognition and retinal imaging are two examples of biometrics that are applicable to animals, and each is present from birth. Iris recognition use in animal identification will be limited by the fact that the iris pattern does not stabilize until the animal is several months old and can undergo alteration following injury or infection. Retinal imaging is most efficient method but not cost effective. Therefore a new method is required which is rapid, inexpensive and tamper proof.

VII. SURF BASED MUZZLE PRINT RECOGNITION

In this section important steps in SURF [4] based recognition, viz. HAAR Wavelet based descriptor, training and testing are discussed.

Haar Wavelet Based Descriptor
Construct square window of size 20S, where S is scale, centered on the interest point and oriented along the orientation vector. For preserving spatial information, the region is divided into $4 \times 4$ smaller square subregions.
Haar wavelet responses in horizontal \((dx)\) and vertical direction \((dy)\) are calculated (Fig.6). These \(dx\) and \(dy\) are Gaussian weighted \((\sigma = 3.3\)s\) at interest point to increase robustness towards localization errors and deformations. First set of entries in the feature vector is summation of \(dx\) and \(dy\). Next entries are sum of absolute values of the responses \(|dx|\) and \(|dy|\) which bring the information regarding polarity of intensity change.

For each sub region, a \(1 \times 4\) feature vector is formed with

\[
v = \left( \sum dx, \sum dy, \sum |dx|, \sum |dy| \right)
\]

Calculating \(v\) for all \(4 \times 4\) region, a descriptor of length 64 is formed. Wavelet responses are invariant to illumination. Scale invariance is achieved by mapping descriptor into a unit vector. Properties of descriptor for three distinct image intensity patterns are shown in figure 7.

Combination of such intensity patterns results distinctive feature vector. For homogeneous region (left), all four values are low. For frequency in \(x\) —direction (middle), makes \(|dx|\) very high. If intensity value is increasing gradually in \(x\) —direction (right), both \(dx\) and \(|dx|\) values are high.
Training Phase
1) Collect and normalize all training muzzle print images.
2) Extract SURF feature of each muzzle image.
3) Represent each image by a feature vector.
4) Store all feature vectors in Database.

Testing Phase
1) Collect and normalize the test muzzle print image.
2) Extract the features of the collected image using SURF.
3) Find Euclidean distance between testing image feature vector with database feature vectors.
4) First, check the testing image for unknown image. If the testing image is not an unknown image, the database feature vector with minimum Euclidean distance gives the recognized cattle.

VIII. ACCURACY MEASUREMENT

The performance of the system can be measured by using accuracy [18]. Accuracy gives correctness of identification procedure. The accuracy of identification system is given by

\[ \text{Accuracy}(\%) = \left( 100 - \frac{\text{FAR}(\%) + \text{FRR}(\%)}{2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where FRR is False Rejection Rate and FAR is False Acceptance Rate. FAR is the rate at which non-authorised muzzle is authorized as genuine. FRR is the rate at which genuine muzzle getting rejected. If FRR and FAR decreases, accuracy increases.

IX. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Muzzle pattern can be digitized using two methods.
1. Ink and Paper method
2. Photo [3]

The muzzle photos have been taken from Jersey kinds of beef cattle race. Special permission has been taken from Kerala Government for collecting muzzle photos from Kudappanakkunnu Farm, Trivandrum. The set of muzzle photos are standardized in orientation and scale manually. A rectangular region with minimum distance between
The nostrils is taken as Region of Interest (ROI). Example of ROI is shown in Figure 8. Each ROI is resized into $300 \times 300$ pixels. The blue rectangle region in Figure 8 is the ROI.

The experiments are done using a PC with intel core I5-4200M running at 2.5Ghz, and 4GB RAM. The PC is installed with MATLAB in windows R 64 bit. Programs in MATLAB are written for PCA and Fuzzy distance measure classification.

![Figure 8: Region of Interest (ROI) of the muzzle photo](image)

### X. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In our work the minimum image portion between muzzle nostrils is taken and normalized to $300 \times 300$ pixels. For each image, feature vectors are calculated using SURF. Using Euclidean distance measurement classification is done and accuracy values (eqn.1) are noted. Muzzle images of 40 cows are collected and stored in database. Algorithm is tested for 5, 15, 25 and 35 with other images as unknown. The results are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Images</th>
<th>05</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (SURF with Euclidean)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The new application of the beef cattle identification using muzzle pattern based on SURF approach has been studied. The performance of the proposed identification mechanism is significantly better than the previous methods, i.e., the identification method proposed by Barry et al[19]. The average accuracy of SURF is 100%. SURF is rotation and scale invariant also less sensitive to noise.

### XI. CONCLUSION

For the recognition of cattle, existing biometric and non biometric methods are studied in detail. For the recognition of beef cattle muzzle pattern, a rotation and scale invariant SURF based recognition method is discussed. In this method all the images are converted into SURF based feature vector. Classification is done using Euclidean distance measure. But SURF method is sensitive to noise. Noise resistance of SURF method can be improved and is the future work.
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